

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,803	08/25/2003	Eric Chuang	3304.2.80	2347
21552	7590 03/14/2005	EXAMINER		INER
MADSON & METCALF			CHAUHAN, ULKA J	
GATEWAY TOWER WEST SUITE 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
15 WEST SOUTH TEMPLE			2676	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84101			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/647,803	CHUANG, ERIC				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ulka J. Chauhan	2676				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed sys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
• •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been receiveu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/647,803 Page 2

Art Unit: 2676

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8-14, 16-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (APA) [0002] to [0004] and U.S. Patent No. 6,496,193 to Surti et al.
- 3. As per claims 1-4, 8, and 9, APA discloses:

a core logic unit outputting first image data in a linear mode (Fig. 1 and [0002]: a core logic unit comprising a north bridge chip 11 and a south bridge chip 12 are used to control data flows among a microprocessor 10, a system memory 13, and a plurality of I/O devices including a graphics card 14... The microprocessor 10 outputs graphing commands to the graphics card 14 via the north bridge chip 11);

a graphics accelerator in communication with said core logic unit for processing said first image data into second image data in a linear mode (Fig. 1 and [0002]: The graphics card 14 is electrically connected to the north bridge chip 11 via an AGP bus, and comprises a graphics chip 141; [0003]: However, before the graphics chip 141 stores the graphics data into the local memory 142, the graphics data are present in a linear mode);

a first tile converter in communication with said graphics accelerator for converting said second image data into third image data in a tile mode; a local memory in communication with said first tile converter for storing therein said third image data (Fig. 1 and [0003]: graphics data

Art Unit: 2676

have to be converted into tile-mode graphics data by the tile converter 143 in advance, and then are stored in the local memory 142);

a system memory accessible by said core logic unit, and comprising a graphics accelerating memory (Fig. 1: system memory 13 comprising AGP memory block 131; [0002]: core logic unit comprising a north bridge chip 11 is used to control data flows among a microprocessor 10, a system memory 13, and a graphics card 14).

4. APA does not expressly teach: a second tile converter in communication with said core logic unit for converting said first image data into fourth image data in a tile mode, and storing the fourth image data in a tile mode in the graphics accelerating memory within the system memory. This is what Surti teaches. Surti discloses a computer system implementing fast texture loading utilizing a hardware implementation (c. 3 11. 7-9). Surti discloses a graphics memory controller 12 functioning as a first bridge element between host processor 110, system memory 14, and a graphics memory 13 that is coupled to graphics memory controller 12 via a dedicated graphics bus 24; where the graphics memory 13 and system memory 14 are both arranged as tiled memories (Fig. 1 and c. 3 ll. 10-25). Surti further discloses converting virtual addresses that the host processor is writing to the tiled address space (c. 4 ll. 34-37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized Surti's conversion of host processor addresses to tile addresses in combination with APA in order to take advantage of tiled memory architecture which provides efficient method of utilizing memory space and which allows the graphics engine/accelerator to access the AGP memory block in the system memory faster without causing excessive number of page misses, as disclosed by Surti.

Application/Control Number: 10/647.803

Art Unit: 2676

5. As per claim 5, APA does not expressly teach that the core logic unit, said graphics accelerator, said first tile converter and said second tile converter are integrated into a single core logic chip. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have integrated the core logic unit, said graphics accelerator, and the first and second tile converters into a single core logic chip in order to achieve faster processing and reduced system cost through integration.

Page 4

- 6. Claims 10-14, 16, 17, and 20 are similar in scope to claims 1-5, 8, and 9, and are rejected under the same rationale.
- 7. As per claim 18, APA does not expressly teach that the first tile converter and said second tile converter are integrated into a single tile converting device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have integrated the first and second tile converters into a single tile converting device in order to reduced system cost through integration.
- Claims 6, 7, 15, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable 8. over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (APA) [0002] to [0004] and U.S. Patent No. 6,496,193 to Surti et al and U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0122837 to Saxena et al.
- 9. As per claim 6, APA does not expressly teach that the local memory is disposed in said system memory. Saxena teaches a computer system utilizing tiled memory architecture in which the system memory is partitioned to create a frame buffer (Fig. 1 and [0032]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have implemented a shared system and graphics memory as taught by Saxena in combination with APA in order to better utilize the available memory space.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,803 Page 5

Art Unit: 2676

10. As per claim 7, APA does not expressly teach that the first tile converter and said second tile converter are integrated into a single tile converting device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have integrated the first and second tile converters into a single tile converting device in order to reduced system cost through integration.

11. Claims 15 and 19 are similar in scope to claim 6, and are rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 20030001853A1 US006856320B1 US006667745B1 US006628294B1 US006072507A US005990912A US005844576A

- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ulka J. Chauhan whose telephone number is 571-272-7782. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Fri., 9:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
- 14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on 571-272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 15. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2676

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ulka J. Chauhan Primary Examiner Art Unit 2676

ujc March 7, 2005